

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
Defendants.

Case Nos. [20-cv-02986-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-02987-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-02993-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-02996-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03009-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03011-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03012-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03561-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03655-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03657-PJH](#)  
[20-cv-03658-PJH](#)

**ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE  
CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He also seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases. Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this court with appointed counsel. See *Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. See *In re Bonilla*, Case No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7

In these civil rights cases plaintiff names as defendants' various federal judges, state judges, municipalities and other state and county officials. Plaintiff presents very similar claims in all these cases. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how his various pro se habeas petitions were handled by the state and federal courts.

Plaintiff has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he filed his

1 complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v.*  
2 *Dawson*, Case No. 13-0951 CW.

3 The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent  
4 danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an  
5 IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512  
6 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S.*  
7 *District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*,  
8 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with  
9 prejudice.

10 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk  
11 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits after these cases are  
12 closed.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: June 11, 2020

15  
16 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

17 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28